# **'Pervamodel' enables optimization of single and multichannel pervaporation system design**

#### M. Sarić, H.M. van Veen, Y.C. van Delft

ECN part of TNO, Westerduinweg 3, 1755 LE Petten, the Netherlands, marija.saric@tno.nl

**Keywords:** pervaporation; process design; optimization



# **BACKGROUND:**

- Pervaporation: selective evaporation over a membrane
- Process optimization is needed to obtain the best configuration
- A simulation tool "Pervamodel" was developed to analyze and optimize the module configuration to lower capital and operating costs
- Modules can be in series and/or parallel
- Tool built within Aspen Custom Modeler

#### **Calculations**

- Feed: 7100 kg/h of 11.4 wt.% water in IPA
- Product (retentate): < 2.2 wt.% water</p>
- 1 module contains 1.7 m<sup>2</sup> of membrane area
- m modules parallel and n modules in series



#### Figure 1. System without the retentate recycle

PUMP-100

HEX-103

Two configurations: 1) no retentate recycle (Fig. 1) and 2) retentate recycle (Fig. 2)

Mass and heat balance and pressures based upon [Lipnizki]

#### **Results and Discussion**

Amount of modules in parallel + series, and total amount, see table 1.

Total costs of purification: 746 kEuro/m<sup>2</sup> and 590 kEuro/m<sup>2</sup> for configuration 1 and 2 respectively.

Mass transfer through boundary layer is rate determining: recycling increases feed velocity, decreases membrane area and decreases costs.

## CONCLUSIONS

The 'Pervapmodel' tool developed in this work can be used to optimise a pervaporation system for any membrane type. Different geometries or flow configurations are easy to



**PUMP-101** 

# implement.

### References

Lipnizki F. and Field R.W., Integration of vacuum and sweep gas pervaporation to recover organic compounds from wastewater, Sep. Purif. Technol. Vol. 22-23 (2001) 347–360.

Figure 1	2X10*	2X13	1X20	66
Figure 2	3X16	1X6	1X5	59

\*Modules in parallel X series

Table 1. Suggested system configurations by the model calculations